

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,194	TERADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES R. GREECE	2873		
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>JAMES R. GREECE</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Paul Fournier</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>31 March 2008</u>	Time: <u>11:00AM</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
n/a			
Claims discussed:			
1			
Prior art documents discussed:			
n/a			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant in order to reach agreement upon an amendment required to the drawings in the application. The applicant and examiner came to the agreement that the applicant will provide a reference number in the drawings to illustrate the toroidal surface feature..